



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,002	01/26/2004	Keenan Martin Bora	U 015009-1	6762
140 75	90 03/29/2006		EXAMINER	
LADAS & PARRY			AULAKH, CHARANJIT	
26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/765,002	BORA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Charanjit S. Aulakh	1625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 De This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-20,22 and 23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20, 22 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/765,002

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. According to paper filed on Dec. 21, 2005, the applicants have canceled claim 21; amended claims 1, 8, 14 and 22 and furthermore, have added new claim 23.

2. Claims 1-20, 22 and 23 are now pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on Dec. 21, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive regarding prior art rejections. The applicants have amended claims to overcome indefiniteness rejections and some enablement rejections. However, the applicants did not address the enablement rejections of instant claims 8-13 and 22 regarding treatment of specific disease conditions in their response. In regard to prior art rejections, the examiner does not agree with the applicants arguments that amended claims are not anticipated by all of the cited references. The value of Ar as bicyclic heteroaryl encompasses unsubstituted as well as substituted bicyclic heteroaryl groups since the claim language is given its broadest interpretation. Also, the instant compounds are anticipated by Carter's reference when Ar represents carbazole (tricyclic heteroaryl ring).

Conclusion

- 4. The enablement rejection of instant claims 8-13 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 5. All prior art rejections are maintained for the reasons of record.

NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTION

Application/Control Number: 10/765,002

Art Unit: 1625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In independent claims 1 and 23, the value of variable Ar is defined as bicyclic heteroaryl as well as substituted bicyclic heteroaryl selected from the group consisting of specific four ring structures. Therefore, it is not clear whether bicyclic heteroaryl group mentioned first is the same as defined for the substituted specific bicyclic heteroaryl groups or different from those? If the bicyclic heteroaryl groups are directed to only four ring structures, then claims 2, 6, 9, 13, 15 and 19 lack antecedal basis since Ar can not represent qunoline ring structure according to four specific structures in claim 1.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1625

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is (571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571)272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charanjit S. Aulakh
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1625